

Enterprise Spreadsheet AI Data Security Assessment

A controlled 30-minute evaluation for Grok-related Excel integrations, RowSpeak, or any other spreadsheet AI workflow. This is an internal evidence checklist, not legal or compliance advice.

Start with an anonymized, representative file. Do not test a new AI workflow with live payroll, customer, legal, or financial-close data.

Test record

Workflow / product		Owner	
Test file and version		Date	
Data classification		Approver	

The five questions before data leaves Excel

Question	Evidence to record	Status
1. What leaves Excel?	Selected range, formula references, workbook metadata, attachments, hidden content, or the whole workbook?	☐ Pass ☐ Review ☐ Fail
2. Where does it go?	Record endpoint, hosting region, integration provider, model provider, and subprocessors for this account tier.	☐ Pass ☐ Review ☐ Fail
3. How is it retained or used?	Confirm retention, deletion, training use, support access, and whether terms differ by plan or add-in.	☐ Pass ☐ Review ☐ Fail
4. Who can access it?	Check identity, workspace permissions, admin visibility, audit logs, export controls, and sharing settings.	☐ Pass ☐ Review ☐ Fail
5. Can the path be controlled?	Document network restrictions, private deployment, model route, fallbacks, and how outbound calls are blocked or approved.	☐ Pass ☐ Review ☐ Fail

30-minute controlled evaluation

Use the same representative test fixture for every candidate. Record the output and the evidence, not only the time to first answer.

Time	Action	Evidence to keep
0-5 min	Record source files, row count, column count, control totals, data owner, and approved test scope.	Fixture version and baseline controls
5-12 min	Run one cell-level task: formula explanation, formula generation, or sample-column transformation.	Prompt, changed cells, formula references, and manual check
12-20 min	Run one report task: explain KPI movement, create a chart, and draft a short summary.	Output, calculation checks, assumptions, and exceptions
20-25 min	Rename a source column or add one category. Observe whether the workflow asks for review or silently changes the meaning.	Schema-change result and reviewer note
25-30 min	Complete the five security questions and make an approve, hold, or reject decision.	Data-flow record, owner, and approval status

Control totals and output review

Check	Expected / baseline	Observed result	Status
Data rows	_____	_____	☐ Match ☐ Review
Columns and mapping	_____	_____	☐ Match ☐ Review
KPI control total	_____	_____	☐ Match ☐ Review
Exceptions surfaced	_____	_____	☐ Match ☐ Review
Report assumptions	_____	_____	☐ Clear ☐ Missing

Decision gate

☐ Approve pilot	All required security answers are documented, controls reconcile, and a named owner accepts the evidence.
☐ Hold for review	The data path, retention, access, or schema-change behavior is unclear. Do not use production data yet.
☐ Reject	The proposed workflow cannot meet the approved data boundary or does not provide enough evidence to review the output.

Review note: Private deployment changes the possible data boundary, but model choice still matters. If an external model is selected, document its route and policy in the approval record.